## ASSOCIATION OF CANADA LANDS SURVEYORS - BOARD OF EXAMINERS WESTERN CANADIAN BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR LAND SURVEYORS ATLANTIC PROVINCES BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR LAND SURVEYORS

## SCHEDULE I / ITEM 3 ADVANCED SURVEYING

October 2005

Notes : This examination consists of 8 questions on a total of 4 pages.

Although programmable calculators may be used, candidates must show all formulae used, the substitution of values into them, and any intermediate values to 2 more significant figures than warranted by the answer.

		<u>Marks</u>	
<u>Q. No</u>	Time: 3 hours	Value	Earned
1	If the maximum allowable angular misclosure in a traverse of $n_{\beta}$ angles is $M_{\beta}$ [at 99%], determine the standard deviation, $\sigma_{\beta}$ , of each individual angle [i.e., the average from several sets], considering that each would contribute equally to the actual misclosure $m_{\beta}$ .	5	
2	The transfer of orientation (i.e., azimuth, from the surface to a level underground) (e.g., to a tunnel or an adit) can be done down a single shaft using a pair of plumblines, $P_1$ and $P_2$ . Two ways in which this can be done are the Weisbach or pair of adjacent triangles method and the Hause or quadrilateral method. The surface connections to $P_1$ and $P_2$ are the same but the underground connections differ between the two methods. Compare the two methods with respect to a) observables and optimal geometry, with a sketch for each method; b) computational effort; and c) advantages, disadvantages, and limitations.	15	

Station AT [79°21'00"W; 43°47'30"N] was occupied with observations to station RO and  $\alpha$  Ursae Minoris [Polaris] as follows. The zone clock times of observation are in Eastern Daylight Saving Time [EDT] on 5 August 2005, as noted. From this one set of observations, determine the azimuth from AT to RO. Observations at Station AT: Station RO Polaris EDT, 2005 08 05 000°00′00″ 46°02′21″ 8h 10m 00s 226°02′41″ 8h 10m 40s 3 20 179°59′56″  $\alpha$  Ursae Minoris: GHADeclination2005 08 05, 0h00 UT274° 13′ 55.8″89° 17′ 03.1″2005 08 06, 0h00 UT275° 12′ 39.2″89° 17′ 03.2″2005 08 07, 0h00 UT276° 11′ 23.1″89° 17′ 03.3″ Canadian Special Order Levelling procedures require that "difference between backsight and foresight distances at each set-up and their total for each section not to exceed 5 m" with maximum lengths of sight of 50 m. Normally, invar double 4 scale rods and a level [M • 40X, sensitivity • 10"/div] with parallel 15 plate micrometer are used. How well would the lengths of sight have to be determined [i.e.,  $\sigma_s$ ]? How would they be measured? Interpret "not to exceed" as being at 99%. For visible and near infra-red radiation and neglecting the effects of water vapour pressure, the refractivity correction,  $\Delta N$ , can be determined by  $\Delta N_i = N_D - N_i = 281.8 - \left| \frac{0.29065 \, p}{1 + 0.00366086 t} \right|$ The meteorological correction is in the sense that  $s = s' + c_{met}$ , with  $c_{met} =$  $\Delta N_s s'$ . a) Temperature and pressure are to be measured at each end of a 1800 m distance, the refractivity correction at each end will be calculated, and the average value of  $\Delta N_1$  will be used to determine the meteorological correction,  $c_{met}$ . The instrument 5 10 being used has a design  $n_D = 1.0002818$  [so that  $N_D = 281.8$ ] and the average temperature and pressure during the measurements are expected to be +35°C and 1000 mb. What would be the largest values of  $\sigma_t$  and  $\sigma_p$  that, together with equal contribution to  $\sigma_{\Delta_M}$ , would result in a meteorological correction that would contribute uncertainty of no more than 2 ppm to the corrected distance? b) What equipment should be used and what procedures should be followed in order to ensure that the required precisions in temperature and pressure are met? c) If the accuracy [not "precision"] of a distance is to be degraded by no more than 2 ppm as a result of the meteorological correction, what concerns would you have in deciding on equipment and procedures?

6	a) Explain why a direction observed in one set using a "single second" theodolite, e.g., a Wild T2, does not have a standard deviation of $\pm 1$ ". Suggest what might be a more realistic value for sights to 500 m and inclinations up to +30° or down to - 30° [T2: 28X, plate vial 20"/div, index 30"/div, micrometer 1"/div]. b) If a single direction has a standard deviation of $\sigma_{\delta}$ in one set, what is the standard deviation, $\sigma_{\beta}$ , of the mean value of an angle, $\beta$ , measured in n <sub>s</sub> sets by the same theodolite under the same conditions [lengths and inclinations of sight]? c) Determine the allowable discrepancy, as would be used to check the sets in the field, between any two of the n <sub>s</sub> sets in part b, so that the mean, $\beta$ , would have the expected standard deviation, $\sigma_{\beta}$ .	10	
7	<ul> <li>The additive constant [or system constant or zero correction], z<sub>0</sub>, is a correction that is applied to the output of an EODMI, s = s' + z<sub>0</sub>, to account for the offset between the electronic and mechanical centres of an instrument and reflector combination. The magnitude of z<sub>0</sub> can be as high as 35 mm to 90 mm depending on the reflector mounting and EODMI/reflector combination.</li> <li>a) Explain how z<sub>0</sub> can be uniquely determined.</li> <li>b) If each distance involved in the unique determination of z<sub>0</sub> is ± 0.002 m, what is the consequent uncertainty in z<sub>0</sub>?</li> <li>c) If the same EODMI as in part b is used elsewhere, say s<sub>i</sub>' ± 0.002 m, what is the uncertainty in the corrected distance, s<sub>i</sub>?</li> <li>d) Normally corrections are expected to not significantly contribute to the uncertainty in z<sub>0</sub> be improved?</li> <li>e) i) What type of error contaminates an uncorrected distance, s', if z<sub>0</sub> is not applied?</li> <li>ii) How would that error affect the accuracy and the precision of a traverse involving n<sub>d</sub> distances between two pairs of control points?</li> <li>iii) How would it affect the accuracy and the precision of a traverse involving n<sub>d</sub> distances in a loop?</li> </ul>	15	
8	A repetition instrument [theodolite or total station] can be used as a direction instrument if its lower motion remains clamped. Even so, a crusty older party chief insists that the repetition method is better than the direction method since it is faster in observing and is more precise. Consequently, he has decided to use the repetition method with the instrument even though the specifications say that the angles are to be measured as directions. Explain whether he is justified in doing so.	10	
	Total Marks:	100	

## Percentiles of the $\chi^2$ distribution:

		···· // ····		•				
	0.50	0.70	0.80	0.90	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.995
1	0.455	1.07	1.64	2.71	3.84	5.02	6.63	7.88
2	1.39	2.41	3.22	4.61	5.99	7.38	9.21	10.60
3	2.37	3.66	4.64	6.25	7.81	9.35 1	11.34	12.84

Some potentially useful formulae are given on the following page.

$$\tan Z = \frac{-\sin t}{\tan \delta \cos \varphi - \sin \varphi \cos t}$$

$$\sin Z = -\frac{\sin t \cos \delta}{\cos h}$$

$$\sin Z = \frac{\sin p}{\cos \varphi}$$

$$\cos Z = \frac{\sin \delta}{\cos h \cos \varphi} - \tan h \tan \varphi$$

$$-\frac{\Delta^2}{2S}$$

$$\sigma_c = \pm 0.5mm h; \quad \sigma_l = \pm 0.2 \, div$$

$$\sigma_{\delta_c}^2 = \frac{\sigma_{cAT}^2 + \sigma_{cTO}^2}{s^2}; \quad \sigma_{\delta_l}^2 = \sigma_l^2 \tan^2 v$$

$$\sigma_{\delta_r}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \pm \frac{45^n}{M} \right]^2; \quad \sigma_{\delta_r}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \pm 2.5^n \, div \right]^2$$

$$\sigma_{\beta_r}^2 = \sigma_l^2 \left[ \tan^2 v_{FROM} + \tan^2 v_{TO} \right]$$

$$\sigma_{\beta_r}^2 = \left[ \pm \frac{45^n}{M} \right]^2; \quad \sigma_{\beta_c}^2 = \left[ \pm 2.5^n \, div \right]^2$$

$$\sigma_{\beta_r}^2 = \left[ \pm \frac{45^n}{M} \right]^2; \quad \sigma_{\beta_c}^2 = \left[ \pm 2.5^n \, div \right]^2$$

$$\sigma_{\beta_r}^2 = \left[ \pm \frac{45^n}{M} \right]^2; \quad \sigma_{\beta_c}^2 = \left[ \pm 2.5^n \, div \right]^2$$

$$\sigma_{\beta_{rep}}^2 = \frac{2\sigma_x^2}{n^2} + \frac{2\sigma_p^2}{n}; \quad \sigma_{\beta_{dir}}^2 = \frac{2\sigma_x^2}{n} + \frac{2\sigma_p^2}{n}$$

$$\sin \beta_l = \frac{b_l \sin \alpha_l}{a}; \quad \sin \beta_2 = \frac{b_2 \sin \alpha_2}{a}$$

$$\sigma_{\beta}^2 = \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{b^2} \sigma_b^2 + \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{a^2} \sigma_a^2 + \left( \frac{b^2}{a^2 \cos^2 \beta} - \tan^2 \beta \right) \sigma_{\alpha}^2$$