

**CANADIAN BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS  
ATLANTIC PROVINCES BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR LAND SURVEYORS**

**SCHEDULE I / ITEM 3  
ADVANCED SURVEYING**

**March 2007**

**Notes :** This examination consists of 8 questions on a total of 4 pages.

**Although programmable calculators may be used, candidates must show all formulae used, the substitution of values into them, and any intermediate values to 2 more significant figures than warranted by the answer.**

<u>Q. No</u>	<u>Time: 3 hours</u>	<u>Marks</u>	
		<u>Value</u>	<u>Earned</u>
1	<p>The maximum allowable angular misclosure in a traverse of <math>n_\beta</math> angles is stated as <math>M_\beta</math> at 99%.</p> <p>a) Determine the standard deviation, <math>\sigma_\beta</math>, of each of the <math>n_\beta</math> angles, considering that each would contribute equally to the actual misclosure <math>m_\beta</math>.</p> <p>b) If the average from <math>n_s</math> sets of an angle has a standard deviation of <math>\pm \sigma_\beta</math>, determine the allowable discrepancy, <math>\delta_s</math>, between individual sets that would be used as a quality check at the time of observation.</p>	10	
2	<p>Monitoring a structure over an extended period of time involves the repetition of observations that may be used to determine the geometric state at a particular epoch <math>t_i</math> (<math>\mathbf{x}_{ti}</math>), and then again at <math>t_j</math> (<math>\mathbf{x}_{tj}</math>), or to determine how the geometric state has changed over an interval, <math>\Delta t_{ij}</math> (<math>\Delta \mathbf{x}_{ij}</math>).</p> <p>Explain how repeated geodetic observations might be used to describe the “tilt” of a structure. With some explanation, compare the achievable precision of a geodetic observable with a corresponding geotechnical observable [e.g., creating a “long base” tiltmeter between two floor level benchmarks, 20 m apart, by repeated levelling using a Wild N3 (42X, setting accuracy: <math>\pm 0.2''</math>, micrometer: 0.1 mm), set up in between, and invar double scale staves].</p>	10	
3	<p>During a city survey, it was necessary to run a traverse line at <math>\sim 0.4</math> m away from the south face of several buildings over a distance of <math>\sim 270</math> m. The temperature at the building face was about 40 C and, at <math>\sim 1</math> m away, was 30 C. This line is one of the four supposedly closing around the block.</p> <p>Explain what might affect the angular misclosure and suggest by how much and whether the misclosure would appear to be larger or smaller than it would be without the influence.</p> <p>What would you do about it?</p>	10	

4	<p>Station AT [122°16' 22.2"W; 49°54' 26.6"N] was occupied with observations to station RO and <math>\alpha</math> Ursae Minoris [Polaris] as follows. The zone clock times of observation are in Pacific Daylight Time [PDT] on 31 May 1995, as noted.</p> <p>a) From this one set of observations, determine the azimuth from AT to RO.</p> <p>Observations at Station AT:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Station RO</td> <td>Polaris</td> <td>PDT, 1995 05 31</td> </tr> <tr> <td>000°00' 00"</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>53°10' 40"</td> <td>19h 05m 20.0s</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>233°11' 15"</td> <td>19h 08m 40.0s</td> </tr> <tr> <td>179°59' 55"</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p><math>\alpha</math> Ursae Minoris:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>GHA</td> <td>Declination</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1995 05 31, 0h00 UT</td> <td>211° 29' 36.3"</td> <td>89° 14' 24.4"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1995 06 01, 0h00 UT</td> <td>212° 28' 27.3"</td> <td>89° 14' 24.2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1995 06 02, 0h00 UT</td> <td>213° 27' 18.7"</td> <td>89° 14' 24.0"</td> </tr> </table> <p>b) Explain what systematic influences could affect a single determination, or set, if a T2 were used and how the accuracy would be improved with several sets.</p>	Station RO	Polaris	PDT, 1995 05 31	000°00' 00"				53°10' 40"	19h 05m 20.0s		233°11' 15"	19h 08m 40.0s	179°59' 55"				GHA	Declination	1995 05 31, 0h00 UT	211° 29' 36.3"	89° 14' 24.4"	1995 06 01, 0h00 UT	212° 28' 27.3"	89° 14' 24.2"	1995 06 02, 0h00 UT	213° 27' 18.7"	89° 14' 24.0"	25	
Station RO	Polaris	PDT, 1995 05 31																												
000°00' 00"																														
	53°10' 40"	19h 05m 20.0s																												
	233°11' 15"	19h 08m 40.0s																												
179°59' 55"																														
	GHA	Declination																												
1995 05 31, 0h00 UT	211° 29' 36.3"	89° 14' 24.4"																												
1995 06 01, 0h00 UT	212° 28' 27.3"	89° 14' 24.2"																												
1995 06 02, 0h00 UT	213° 27' 18.7"	89° 14' 24.0"																												
5	<p>Canadian Special Order Levelling procedures require that "... difference between backsight and foresight distances at each set-up and their total for each section not to exceed 5 m ..." with maximum lengths of sight of 50 m. Normally, invar double scale rods and a level [M • 40X, sensitivity • 10"/div] with parallel plate micrometer are used. How well would the lengths of sight have to be determined [i.e., <math>\sigma_s</math>]? How would they be measured? Interpret "not to exceed" as being at 99%.</p>	10																												
6	<p>For visible and near infra-red radiation and neglecting the effects of water vapour pressure, the refractivity correction, <math>\Delta N</math>, can be determined by</p> $\Delta N_i = N_D - N_i = 281.8 - \left[ \frac{0.29065 p}{1 + 0.00366086 t} \right]$ <p>The meteorological correction is in the sense that <math>s = s' + c_{met}</math>, with <math>c_{met} = \Delta N_i s'</math>.</p> <p>a) Temperature and pressure are to be measured at each end of a 1600 m distance, the refractivity correction at each end will be calculated, and the average value of <math>\Delta N_i</math> will be used to determine the meteorological correction, <math>c_{met}</math>. The instrument being used has a design <math>n_D = 1.0002818</math> [so that <math>N_D = 281.8</math>] and the average temperature and pressure during the measurements are expected to be +30°C and 1000 mb. What would be the largest values of <math>\sigma_t</math> and <math>\sigma_p</math> that, together with equal contribution to <math>\sigma_{\Delta N}</math>, would result in a meteorological correction that would contribute uncertainty of no more than 2 ppm to the corrected distance?</p> <p>b) What equipment should be used and what procedures should be followed in order to ensure that the required precisions in temperature and pressure are met?</p> <p>c) If the accuracy [not "precision"] of a distance is to be degraded by no more than 2 ppm as a result of the meteorological correction, what concerns would you have in deciding on equipment and procedures for measuring temperature and pressure?</p>	10																												

7	<p>a) The transfer of position (x,y “horizontal”) and orientation (azimuth in the x,y plane) from the surface via a single shaft to a tunnel or an adit can be done using either a pair of plumb-lines or optical methods. Briefly explain the advantages and limitations of each method for i) position transfer and for ii) orientation transfer.</p> <p>b) The transfer of elevation from surface benchmarks via a single shaft to underground stations can be done using a steel tape or an EODMI (total station).  i) Briefly explain the advantages and limitations of each method.  ii) With a brief explanation, compare the corrections applied to the tape in elevation transfer to the corrections normally applied when a tape is used to determine horizontal distances.</p>	15	
8	<p>The additive constant [or “system constant” or “zero correction”], <math>z_0</math>, is a correction that is applied to the output of an EODMI, <math>s = s' + z_0</math>, to account for the offset between the electronic and mechanical centres of an instrument and reflector combination. The magnitude of <math>z_0</math> can be as high as 35 mm to 90 mm depending on the reflector mounting and EODMI/reflector combination.</p> <p>a) Explain how <math>z_0</math> can be uniquely determined, independent of any other information.</p> <p>b) If each distance involved in the unique determination of <math>z_0</math> is <math>\pm 0.002</math> m, what is the consequent random uncertainty in <math>z_0</math>?</p> <p>c) If the same EODMI as in part b is used elsewhere, say <math>s_i' \pm 0.002</math> m, what is the random uncertainty in the corrected distance, <math>s_i</math> [with the value of <math>z_0</math> is applied]?</p> <p>d) Normally corrections are expected to not significantly contribute to the uncertainty of the quantity that they are correcting. In what way could the random uncertainty in <math>z_0</math> be improved?</p> <p>e) What type of error contaminates an uncorrected distance, <math>s'</math>, if <math>z_0</math> is not applied? Explain how its effect might be misinterpreted if all of the distances, in a traverse between control points, were about the same length.</p>	10	
<b>Total Marks:</b>		100	

Percentiles of the  $\chi^2$  distribution:

	0.50	0.70	0.80	0.90	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.995
1	0.455	1.07	1.64	2.71	3.84	5.02	6.63	7.88
2	1.39	2.41	3.22	4.61	5.99	7.38	9.21	10.60
3	2.37	3.66	4.64	6.25	7.81	9.35	11.34	12.84

Some potentially useful formulae follow.

$$\tan Z = \frac{-\sin t}{\tan \delta \cos \varphi - \sin \varphi \cos t}$$

$$\sin Z = -\frac{\sin t \cos \delta}{\cos h}$$

$$\sin Z = \frac{\sin p}{\cos \varphi}$$

$$\cos Z = \frac{\sin \delta}{\cos h \cos \varphi} - \tan h \tan \varphi$$

$$\sigma_c = \pm 0.5 \text{ mm } h; \quad \sigma_l = \pm 0.2 \text{ div}$$

$$\sigma_{\delta_c}^2 = \frac{\sigma_{c_{AT}}^2 + \sigma_{c_{TO}}^2}{s^2}; \quad \sigma_{\delta_l}^2 = \sigma_l^2 \tan^2 v$$

$$\sigma_{\delta_p}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \pm \frac{45''}{M} \right]^2; \quad \sigma_{\delta_r}^2 = \frac{1}{2} [\pm 2.5'' \text{ div}]^2$$

$$\sigma_{\beta_c}^2 = \frac{\sigma_{c_{FROM}}^2}{s_{FROM}^2} + \frac{\sigma_{c_{TO}}^2}{s_{TO}^2} + \left[ \frac{1}{s_{FROM}^2} + \frac{1}{s_{TO}^2} - \frac{\cos \beta}{s_{FROM} s_{TO}} \right] \sigma_{c_{AT}}^2$$

$$\sigma_{\beta_l}^2 = \sigma_l^2 [\tan^2 v_{FROM} + \tan^2 v_{TO}]$$

$$\sigma_{\beta_p}^2 = \left[ \pm \frac{45''}{M} \right]^2; \quad \sigma_{\beta_r}^2 = [\pm 2.5'' \text{ div}]^2$$

$$\sigma_{\beta_{rep}}^2 = \frac{2\sigma_s^2}{n^2} + \frac{2\sigma_p^2}{n}; \quad \sigma_{\beta_{dir}}^2 = \frac{2\sigma_s^2}{n} + \frac{2\sigma_p^2}{n}$$

$$\sin \beta_1 = \frac{b_1 \sin \alpha_1}{a}; \quad \sin \beta_2 = \frac{b_2 \sin \alpha_2}{a}$$

$$\sigma_{\beta}^2 = \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{b^2} \sigma_b^2 + \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{a^2} \sigma_a^2 + \left( \frac{b^2}{a^2 \cos^2 \beta} - \tan^2 \beta \right) \sigma_{\alpha}^2$$

$$\sigma_{y_n}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_n - x_i)^2 \sigma_{\beta_i}^2$$

$$\sigma_{y_n}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 \sigma_{\alpha_i}^2$$

$$d\delta = 8'' \frac{pS}{T^2} \frac{dT}{dx}$$

$$T = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n [(h_{i+1} - h_i)(T_i + T_{i+1})]}{2(h_n - h_1)}$$

$$\Delta h_w = \frac{w}{aE} \left( Lh - \frac{h^2}{2} \right)$$

$$\sigma_{pr} = \pm \frac{30''}{M} d; \quad \sigma_c = \pm \sigma_l d$$